



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

09/492,265

01/27/2000

Yi-Hsien Hao

20218US02

9668

23446 7590 09/09/2009
MCANDREWS HELD & MALLOY, LTD
500 WEST MADISON STREET
SUITE 3400
CHICAGO, IL 60661

EXAMINER

NG, CHRISTINE Y

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2416

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

09/09/2009

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 09/492,265	Applicant(s) HAO ET AL.	
	Examiner CHRISTINE NG	Art Unit 2416	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 July 2009.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-60 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-60 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 27 January 2000 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities:

In line 17: --access-- should be inserted between "second single" and "to access".

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 1-6, 8-11, 13-15, 18-21, 23-25, 28-30, 32-34, 37-40, 42-44, 47-50, 52-55, and 57-60 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,067,300 to Baumert et al in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,279,097 to Kaku, and in further view of U.S. Patent No. 6,021,132 to Muller et al.

Referring to claim 1, Baumert et al disclose a memory structure (Figure 5) for use with a dual-speed Ethernet device comprising:

An Address Resolution Table (Figure 5, address table 38) comprising a plurality of locations, each of said locations configured to store a packet destination address, where the Address Resolution Table is configured to:

Resolve addresses in a packet-based network switch (Figure 1).

Use a key to index one of said locations, wherein the key is the packet destination address associated with said indexed location. In Figure 5, the address table state machine 100 uses the address table 38 to determine the destination port according to the destination address of a packet. Refer to Column 4, lines 5-8; Column 5, lines 13-18; and Column 7, lines 54-65.

A Packet Storage Table (Figures 4 and 5, packet memory 20) configured to:

Receive a packet for storage in the packet-based network switch. Refer to Column 5, lines 27-28.

Share a preselected portion of memory with the Address Resolution Table. In Figure 5, packet memory 20 and address table 38 are connected to each other.

A mechanism configured to receive an individual packet (Figures 4 and 5, packet memory 20) wherein the mechanism is configured to perform only one transmit descriptor (Figure 4, descriptor 74) read per said individual packet and execute a first single access in order to locate the entire packet (in Figure 4, the buffer address pointer in descriptor 74 points to the buffer 70 that is used to store a particular packet; Column 5, lines 27-55) and a second single to access the packet destination address at the indexed location using the key (in Figure 5, the address table state machine 100 uses the address table 38 to determine the destination port according to the destination address of a packet; Column 7, lines 54-65), wherein the entire packet is to be transmitted.

Wherein the memory structure implements memory arbitration for at least six types of memory accesses. Figure 2 shows the switch controller 23 primarily comprised

of seven elements (MAC RCV buffer 30, MAC XMIT buffer 32, packet memory input buffer 34, packet memory output buffer 36, address table 38, RCV controller 40 and XMIT controller 42), each of which performs a different type of memory access. Refer to Column 3, line 57 to Column 4, line 21.

Wherein the memory structure facilitates full-duplex, non-blocked Ethernet switch operations at wire speed. The memory structure can simultaneously receive and transmit data (full-duplex, non-blocked) across multiple LAN data ports such as 28 Ethernet ports comprised of 10/100 and/or 10Mbps ports. Refer to Column 1, lines 28-34; and Column 3, lines 21-28.

Baumert et al do not disclose wherein the key *is a predefined portion* of a packet destination address and that indexing is done *via at least an offset in address space*.

Kaku discloses a system wherein a controller extracts an incoming packet's destination address, matches the address with one of the addresses stored in an address lookup table, and reads a corresponding output port. The entire 48-bit destination address is not used in the address lookup table. Instead, memory locations in the address lookup table are addressed by a portion of the destination address in order to save space. For example, the 48-bit destination address is compressed to 32 bits and then the 5 least significant bits of the 32-bit compressed address (offset of 27 bits into the 32-bit destination address space to obtain the 5 least significant bits) may be used to address the address lookup table. Refer to Column 1, line 60 to Column 2, line 32. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include wherein the key *is a predefined portion* of a

packet destination address and that indexing is done *via at least an offset in address space*. One would have been motivated to do so to reduce the lookup table to a smaller and more practical size.

Baumert et al also do not disclose a *single buffer per packet* mechanism.

Muller et al disclose in Figure 3A a shared memory 230 with a plurality of buffers for storing packets. A given packet's data can be stored in multiple buffers. However, it may be convenient to limit packet data contained within a particular buffer to one packet. Refer to Column 8, lines 37-64. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include a *single buffer per packet* mechanism. One would have been motivated to do so in order to prevent mixing of more than one packet within a buffer, thereby simplifying the system.

Referring to claim 2, Baumert et al disclose in Figure 4 a Transmit Descriptor Table (receive session registers 76) being associated with a corresponding packet-based network transmit port (ports 78a-78m). Each of the M output queues 78a-78m are associated with a receive session register 76. Refer to Column 5, lines 52-60.

Baumert et al do not disclose a Free Buffer Pool having plural memory buffers, each of the plural memory buffers having a pre-determined number of memory locations associated therewith.

Muller et al disclose in Figures 3A and 3B a shared memory manager 220 that manages the shared pool of free buffers in shared memory 230. Shared memory manager 220 returns buffers to the free pool once an output port 206 has finished transmitting packet data from the buffer. Each buffer may be of the same size with a

predetermined number of memory lines for storing packet data. Refer to Column 7, lines 20-28 and Column 8, lines 22-51. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include a Free Buffer Pool having plural memory buffers, each of the plural memory buffers having a predetermined number of memory locations associated therewith. One would have been motivated to do so to allow for dynamic buffer allocation and re-use of free buffers.

Referring to claim 3, Baumert et al disclose wherein the packet-based network switch implements an IEEE standard 802.3 communication protocol (Ethernet LAN's). Refer to Column 1, lines 19-34.

Referring to claim 4, Baumert et al disclose in Figure 1 wherein the switch (10) comprises plural ports (18a-18n).

Referring to claim 5, Baumert et al disclose wherein the switch comprises at least 8 ports. As shown in Figure 1, switch 10 can have up to n ports, which includes 8 ports. Furthermore, refer to Column 11, lines 33-35.

Referring to claim 6, Baumert et al disclose in Figure 3 wherein an associative memory structure comprises one of an n-way associative memory (one-way associative memory: each destination address corresponds to one output port), a hash table (none), a binary search structure (none), and a sequential search structure (none). Refer to Column 4, lines 5-8; and Column 7, lines 54-65.

Referring to claim 8, Baumert et al disclose a memory structure (Figure 5) comprising:

An Address Resolution Table (Figure 5, address table 38) comprising an associative memory structure comprising a plurality of locations, each of said locations configured to store a packet destination address, wherein the Address Resolution Table is configured to resolve addresses in a packet-based network switch (Figure 1) and to use a key to index one of said locations within the Address Resolution Table. In Figure 5, the address table state machine 100 uses the address table 38 to determine the destination port according to the destination address of a packet. Refer to Column 4, lines 5-8; Column 5, lines 13-18; and Column 7, lines 54-65.

A mechanism configured to receive an individual packet for enabling only one transmit descriptor (Figure 4, descriptor 74) read per said individual packet and execute a first single access in order to locate the entire packet (in Figure 4, the buffer address pointer in descriptor 74 points to the buffer 70 that is used to store a particular packet; Column 5, lines 27-55) and a second single access to locate the packet destination address at the indexed location using the key (in Figure 5, the address table state machine 100 uses the address table 38 to determine the destination port according to the destination address of a packet; Column 7, lines 54-65), wherein the entire packet is to be transmitted.

Wherein the memory structure implements a weighted priority, round-robin memory arbitration technique. The system can utilize a round-robin arbitration technique, which can include all forms of round-robin techniques. Refer to Column 7, lines 16-21; Column 9, lines 8-12 and lines 47-49; and Column 10, lines 36-42.

Baumert et al do not disclose wherein the key *is a predefined portion* of a packet destination address and that indexing is done *using an offset in address space*. Refer to the Kaku et al rejection part of claim 1.

Baumert et al also do not disclose a *single buffer per packet* mechanism. Refer to the Muller et al rejection part of claim 1.

Referring to claim 9, Baumert et al disclose in Figure 4 a Packet Storage Table (packet memory 20; Column 5, lines 27-28), the Packet Storage Table adapted to receive at least one of each of a Packet Data Address (60,62) and a Packet Data Value (64). In Figure 3, a packet includes address data and user data. Refer to Column 5, lines 13-26.

Referring to claim 10, Baumert et al disclose in Figure 4 a Transmit Descriptor Table (receive session register 76), the Transmit Descriptor Table being associated with a corresponding packet-based network transmit port (ports 78a-78m), and the Transmit Descriptor Table configured to receive a Table Descriptor Address (buffer address in descriptor 74) and a Table Descriptor Value (byte count in descriptor 74). Each of the M output queues 78a-78m are associated with a receive session register 76. Refer to Column 5, lines 52-60.

Referring to claim 11, Baumert et al disclose in Figure 5 wherein the associative memory structure comprises one of a direct-mapped/one-way associative memory structure (one-way associative memory: each destination address corresponds to one output port) and a two-way associative memory structure (none). Refer to Column 4, lines 5-8; and Column 7, lines 54-65.

Referring to claim 13, refer to the rejection of claim 8, claim 9 and claim 10.

Referring to claim 14, refer to the rejection of claim 6.

Referring to claim 15, Baumert et al disclose in Figure 4 wherein the memory block (packet memory 20) comprises a shared memory block (made up of buffers 70).

Referring to claim 18, refer to the Muller et al rejection part of claim 2.

Referring to claim 19, Baumert et al do not disclose wherein the Free Buffer Pool further comprises a buffer control memory.

Muller et al disclose in Figures 3A and 3B a shared memory manager 220 that manages the shared pool of free buffers in shared memory 230. Shared memory manager 220 returns buffers to the free pool once an output port 206 has finished transmitting packet data from the buffer. Refer to Column 7, lines 20-28 and Column 8, lines 22-51. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include wherein the Free Buffer Pool further comprises a buffer control memory. One would have been motivated to do so in order to provide a means to control dynamic buffer allocation.

Referring to claim 20, Baumert et al do not disclose wherein the free buffer pool control memory comprises plural memory bits, ones of the plural data bits uniquely corresponding to ones of the pre-determined number of buffer pool memory locations.

Muller et al disclose in Figures 3A and 3B a shared memory manager 220 that has a count array 430 for managing the shared pool of free buffers in shared memory 230. The location of a given count field in the count array 430 represents the start address of the corresponding buffer in the shared memory 230. Also, the shared

memory 230 is made up of a plurality of buffers; each of the buffers may be of the same size. Refer to Column 8, lines 37-51; and Column 9, line 65 to Column 10, line 7.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include wherein the free buffer pool control memory comprises plural memory bits, ones of the plural data bits uniquely corresponding to ones of the pre-determined number of buffer pool memory locations. One would have been motivated to do so in order to locate and address of the buffers in the shared memory.

Referring to claim 21, Baumert et al disclose in Figures 4 and 5 wherein at least two of the Address Resolution Table, the Transmit Descriptor Table, the Packet Storage Table, and the Free Buffer Pool share a memory block (Figure 5). Address Tables 38, descriptors 74 and packet memory 20 are located within switch 10.

Referring to claim 23, Baumert et al do not disclose a free buffer manager, including: a buffer bus controller; a buffer bus register; a buffer control finite state machine, operably coupled with the bus controller and the bus register; and a buffer search engine, operably coupled with the bus controller, bus register, and finite state machine.

Muller et al disclose in Figures 3B and 4 a free buffer manager (shared memory manager 220), including: a buffer bus controller (pointer RAM 320); a buffer bus register (arbiter 470); a buffer control finite state machine (array controller 450), operably coupled with the bus controller and the bus register; and a buffer search engine (address/data generator 460), operably coupled with the bus controller, bus register, and finite state machine. Pointer RAM 320 controls the buffers by storing usage counts

for buffers, arbiter 470 arbitrates among the ports to provide only a single port with access to the pointer RAM 320, array controller 450 schedules read and write operations for the pointer RAM 320, and address/data generator 460 provides addressing into pointer RAM 320. Refer to Column 9, line 5 to Column 10, line 45.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include a free buffer manager, including: a buffer bus controller; a buffer bus register; a buffer control finite state machine, operably coupled with the bus controller and the bus register; and a buffer search engine, operably coupled with the bus controller, bus register, and finite state machine. One would have been motivated to do so to provide means to control the shared buffer for dynamic buffer allocation.

Referring to claim 24, Baumert et al do not disclose wherein the buffer bus controller comprises: a buffer free bus controller for detecting a buffer request and presenting the request to at least one of the finite state machine and the buffer search engine; and a buffer grant bus controller for granting an available free buffer, as indicated by the buffer, as indicated by the buffer bus register.

Muller et al disclose in Figures 3B and 4 wherein the buffer bus controller (pointer RAM 320) comprises: a buffer free bus controller (count array 430) for detecting a buffer request and presenting the request to at least one of the finite state machine and the buffer search engine; and a buffer grant bus controller (tag array 420) for granting an available free buffer, as indicated by the buffer, as indicated by the buffer bus register. Count array 420 stores a count representing ports that are currently using a corresponding buffer in the shared memory 230, and tag array 420 indicates the

Art Unit: 2416

availability of buffers that can be granted for use. Refer to Column 9, line 65 to Column 10, line 20. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include wherein the buffer bus controller comprises:

- a buffer free bus controller for detecting a buffer request and presenting the request to at least one of the finite state machine and the buffer search engine; and a buffer grant bus controller for granting an available free buffer, as indicated by the buffer, as indicated by the buffer bus register. One would have been motivated to do so to provide means to control the request and granting of free buffers.

Referring to claim 25, Baumert et al do disclose wherein the buffer search engine comprises a pipelined buffer search engine.

Muller et al discloses in Figures 3B and 4 that the buffer search engine (address/data generator 460) comprises a pipelined buffer search engine since it generates signals for the memories of the pointer RAM 320 to modify the count and tag fields, which reflect the buffer ownership. Refer to Column 10, lines 28-35. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include wherein the buffer search engine comprises a pipelined buffer search engine. One would have been motivated to do so in order to provide a means to control dynamic buffer allocation.

Referring to claim 28, Baumert et al disclose a packet-based switch (Figure 5) comprising:

A shared memory structure comprising an Address Resolution Table (Figure 5, address table 38) and a Packet Storage Table (Figures 4 and 5, packet memory 20),

Art Unit: 2416

wherein the address resolution table comprises a plurality of locations, each of said locations configured to store a packet destination address.

A key to index one of said locations within the Address Resolution Table. In Figure 5, the address table state machine 100 uses the address table 38 to determine the destination port according to the destination address of a packet. Refer to Column 4, lines 5-8; Column 5, lines 13-18; and Column 7, lines 54-65.

A mechanism configured to receive an individual packet, perform only one transmit descriptor (Figure 4, descriptor 74) read per said individual packet, and execute a first single access in order to locate the packet destination address at the indexed location using a key (in Figure 5, the address table state machine 100 uses the address table 38 to determine the destination port according to the destination address of a packet; Column 7, lines 54-65) and a second single access to locate the entire packet (in Figure 4, the buffer address pointer in descriptor 74 points to the buffer 70 that is used to store a particular packet; Column 5, lines 27-55), wherein the entire packet is to be transmitted.

Wherein the packet-based switch performs full-duplex, non-blocked Ethernet switch operations at wire speed. The memory structure can simultaneously receive and transmit data (full-duplex, non-blocked) across multiple LAN data ports such as 28 Ethernet ports comprised of 10/100 and/or 10Mbps ports. Refer to Column 1, lines 28-34; and Column 3, lines 21-28.

Baumert et al do not disclose wherein the key *is a predefined portion* of a packet destination address and that indexing is done *using an offset in address space*. Refer to the Kaku et al rejection part of claim 1.

Baumert et al also do not disclose a *single buffer per packet* mechanism. Refer to the Muller et al rejection part of claim 1.

Referring to claim 29, refer to the rejection of claim 3.

Referring to claim 30, refer to the rejection of claim 4.

Referring to claim 32, Baumert et al disclose a packet-based switch comprising a memory structure (Figure 5), the memory structure comprising:

An Address Resolution Table (Figure 5, address table 38) comprising an associative memory structure, the Address Resolution Table comprising a plurality of locations, each of said locations configured to store a packet destination address, wherein the Address Resolution Table is configured to resolve addresses in a packet-based network switch (Figure 1) and to use a key to index one of said locations within the Address Resolution Table, wherein the key comprises the packet destination address at the indexed location. In Figure 5, the address table state machine 100 uses the address table 38 to determine the destination port according to the destination address of a packet. Refer to Column 4, lines 5-8; Column 5, lines 13-18; and Column 7, lines 54-65.

A Transmit Descriptor Table (receive session register 76), the Transmit Descriptor Table being associated with a corresponding packet-based network transmit port (ports 78a-78m), and the Transmit Descriptor Table configured to receive a Table

Art Unit: 2416

Descriptor Address (buffer address in descriptor 74) and a Table Descriptor Value (byte count in descriptor 74). Each of the M output queues 78a-78m are associated with a receive session register 76. Refer to Column 5, lines 52-60.

A Packet Storage Table (packet memory 20; Column 5, lines 27-28), the Packet Storage Table adapted to receive at least one of each of a Packet Data Address (60,62) and a Packet Data Value (64). In Figure 3, a packet includes address data and user data. Refer to Column 5, lines 13-26.

A mechanism configured to receive an individual packet for enabling only one transmit descriptor (Figure 4, descriptor 74) read per said individual packet and execute a first single access in order to locate the entire packet (in Figure 4, the buffer address pointer in descriptor 74 points to the buffer 70 that is used to store a particular packet; Column 5, lines 27-55) and a second single access to locate the packet destination address at the indexed location using the key (in Figure 5, the address table state machine 100 uses the address table 38 to determine the destination port according to the destination address of a packet; Column 7, lines 54-65), wherein the entire packet is to be transmitted.

Wherein the memory structure facilitates full-duplex, non-blocked Ethernet switch operations at wire speed. The memory structure can simultaneously receive and transmit data (full-duplex, non-blocked) across multiple LAN data ports such as 28 Ethernet ports comprised of 10/100 and/or 10Mbps ports. Refer to Column 1, lines 28-34; and Column 3, lines 21-28.

Baumert et al do not disclose wherein the key *is a predefined portion* of a packet destination address and that indexing is done *using at least an offset in address space*. Refer to the Kaku et al rejection part of claim 1.

Baumert et al also do not disclose a *single buffer per packet* mechanism. Refer to the Muller et al rejection part of claim 1.

Referring to claim 33, refer to the rejection of claim 6.

Referring to claim 34, refer to the rejection of claim 15.

Referring to claim 37, refer to the Muller et al rejection part of claim 2.

Referring to claim 38, refer to the rejection of claim 19.

Referring to claim 39, refer to the rejection of claim 20.

Referring to claim 40, refer to the rejection of claim 21.

Referring to claim 42, refer to the rejection of claim 23.

Referring to claim 43, refer to the rejection of claim 24.

Referring to claim 44, refer to the rejection of claim 25.

Referring to claim 47, refer to the rejection of claim 3.

Referring to claim 48, refer to the rejection of claim 4.

Referring to claim 49, Baumert et al disclose in Figure 1 wherein the switch comprises at least 4 ports. In Figure 1, switch 10 can have up to n ports, which includes 4 ports.

Referring to claim 50, refer to the rejection of claim 5.

Referring to claim 52, Baumert et al disclose a packet-based switch comprising an Address Resolution Table (Figure 5, address table 38) comprising a one-way

Art Unit: 2416

associative memory structure (refer to the rejection of claim 6) comprising a plurality of locations, each of said locations configured to store a packet destination address, wherein the Address Resolution Table is configured to use a key to index one of said locations within the Address Resolution Table and a Packet Data Buffer Table (Figures 4 and 5, packet memory 20) configured to share a memory block with an Address Resolution Table (Figure 5, packet memory 20 and address table 38 are connected to each other). In Figure 5, the address table state machine 100 uses the address table 38 to determine the destination port according to the destination address of a packet. Refer to Column 4, lines 5-8; Column 5, lines 13-18; and Column 7, lines 54-65.

A mechanism configured to receive an individual packet for enabling only one transmit descriptor (Figure 4, descriptor 74) read per said individual packet and execute a first single access in order to locate the entire packet (in Figure 4, the buffer address pointer in descriptor 74 points to the buffer 70 that is used to store a particular packet; Column 5, lines 27-55) and a second single access to locate the packet destination address at the indexed location using the key (in Figure 5, the address table state machine 100 uses the address table 38 to determine the destination port according to the destination address of a packet; Column 7, lines 54-65), wherein the entire packet is to be transmitted.

Wherein the memory structure facilitates full-duplex, non-blocked Ethernet switch operations at wire speed. The memory structure can simultaneously receive and transmit data (full-duplex, non-blocked) across multiple LAN data ports such as 28

Ethernet ports comprised of 10/100 and/or 10Mbps ports. Refer to Column 1, lines 28-34; and Column 3, lines 21-28.

Baumert et al do not disclose wherein the key *is a predefined portion* of a packet destination address and that indexing is done *using at least an offset in address space*. Refer to the Kaku et al rejection part of claim 1.

Baumert et al also do not disclose a *single buffer per packet* mechanism. Refer to the Muller et al rejection part of claim 1.

Referring to claim 53, refer to the rejection of claim 4.

Referring to claim 54, refer to the rejection of claim 49.

Referring to claim 55, refer to the rejection of claim 5.

Referring to claim 57, Baumert et al disclose a packet-based switch comprising an Address Resolution Table (Figure 5, address table 38) comprising a direct-mapped/one-way associative memory structure (refer to the rejection of claim 6) comprising a plurality of locations, each of said locations configured to store a packet destination address, wherein the Address Resolution Table is configured to resolve addresses in a packet-based network switch, and to use a key in index a one of said locations. In Figure 5, the address table state machine 100 uses the address table 38 to determine the destination port according to the destination address of a packet. Refer to Column 4, lines 5-8; Column 5, lines 13-18; and Column 7, lines 54-65.

A mechanism configured to receive an individual packet, to perform only one transmit descriptor (Figure 4, descriptor 74) read per said individual packet, and to execute a first single access in order to locate the entire packet (in Figure 4, the buffer

Art Unit: 2416

address pointer in descriptor 74 points to the buffer 70 that is used to store a particular packet; Column 5, lines 27-55) and a second single access to locate the packet destination address at the indexed location using the key (in Figure 5, the address table state machine 100 uses the address table 38 to determine the destination port according to the destination address of a packet; Column 7, lines 54-65), wherein the key is a located packet destination address, wherein the entire packet is to be transmitted.

Wherein the packet-based switch makes a transmit descriptor request during a transmission of a previous frame. As shown in Figure 8, a transmit descriptor request 74 is made in a previous frame because after the request is made, descriptors 74 are fetched from the port queue 78 in the descriptor memory 22 and stored in transmit session register 156 (step 166). Afterwards, the packet can be transmitted in the next frame (steps 168-188). Refer to Column 9, line 63 to Column 10, line 34.

Wherein the memory structure facilitates full-duplex, non-blocked Ethernet switch operations at wire speed. The memory structure can simultaneously receive and transmit data (full-duplex, non-blocked) across multiple LAN data ports such as 28 Ethernet ports comprised of 10/100 and/or 10Mbps ports. Refer to Column 1, lines 28-34; and Column 3, lines 21-28.

Baumert et al do not disclose wherein the key *is a predefined portion* of a packet destination address and that indexing is done *using at least an offset in address space*. Refer to the Kaku et al rejection part of claim 1.

Baumert et al also do not disclose a *single buffer per packet* mechanism. Refer to the Muller et al rejection part of claim 1.

Referring to claim 58, Baumert et al disclose in Figure 4 wherein the direct-mapped/one-way associative memory is searched using a destination address key direct-mapped address search. Each destination address corresponds to one output port. Refer to Column 4, lines 5-8; and Column 7, lines 54-65.

Referring to claim 59, refer to the rejection of claim 3.

Referring to claim 60, refer to the rejection of claim 4.

4. Claims 7, 12, 22, 31, 41, 51 and 56 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,067,300 to Baumert et al in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,279,097 to Kaku in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,021,132 to Muller et al, and in further view of U.S. Patent No. 5,765,036 to Lim.

Referring to claims 7, 12 and 31, Baumert et al do not disclose wherein the number of memory accesses required per Ethernet frame is one of: one cycle per frame for address resolution; one cycle per frame for address learning; one cycle per frame for transmission read; one cycle per frame for transmission write; one cycle per eight bytes for a frame data read; and one cycle per eight bytes for a frame data write.

Lim discloses a shared memory device which allows for one system to write data to a memory location in one cycle and another system to read the data on the next data transfer cycle. This allows for maximum flexibility in a system using a shared memory. Refer to Column 8, lines 22-39. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include wherein the

Art Unit: 2416

number of memory accesses required per Ethernet frame is one of: one cycle per frame for address resolution (none); one cycle per frame for address learning (none); one cycle per frame for transmission read; one cycle per frame for transmission write; one cycle per eight bytes for a frame data read (none); and one cycle per eight bytes for a frame data write (none). One would have been motivated to do so to write data in one cycle and read data in the following cycle, thereby allowing for fast data transfer.

Referring to claims 22, 41, 51 and 56, Baumert do not disclose wherein a packet-based network switch implements an IEEE Standard 802.3 communication protocol. Refer to the Muller et al rejection part of claim 3.

Baumert et al also do not disclose wherein the number of memory accesses required per Ethernet frame is one of: one cycle per frame for address resolution; one cycle per frame for address learning; one cycle per frame for transmission read; one cycle per frame for transmission write; one cycle per eight bytes for a frame data read; and one cycle per eight bytes for a frame data write. Refer to the Lim et al rejection part of claims 7, 12 and 31.

5. Claims 16, 17, 35 and 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,067,300 to Baumert et al in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,279,097 to Kaku in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,021,132 to Muller et al, and in further view of U.S. Patent No. 6,088,793 to Liu et al.

Baumert et al do not disclose wherein the Transmit Descriptor Table comprises a FIFO memory structure (claims 16 and 35), and wherein the FIFO memory structure

comprises a circular FIFO memory structure, the FIFO memory structure comprising a head memory pointer and tail memory pointer (claims 17 and 36).

Liu et al disclose in Figure 4 line address buffer LAB 230 which is a circular FIFO buffer with 16-20 entries, a head pointer and a tail pointer. Entries are deallocated by indexing the tail pointer of the FIFO so the first arriving packets are transmitted first. Refer to Column 4, line 55 to Column 6, line 3. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include wherein the Transmit Descriptor Table comprises a FIFO memory structure (claims 16 and 35), and wherein the FIFO memory structure comprises a circular FIFO memory structure, the FIFO memory structure comprising a head memory pointer and tail memory pointer (claims 17 and 36). One would have been motivated to do so in order to allow the first arriving packet in the memory structure to be serviced first and to utilize the pointers to determine packet service order in the memory structure.

6. Claims 26, 27, 45 and 46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,067,300 to Baumert et al in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,279,097 to Kaku in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,021,132 to Muller et al, and in further view of U.S. Patent No. 5,940,375 to Soumiya et al.

Referring to claims 26 and 45, Baumert et al do not disclose wherein the buffer bus register comprises a LIFO.

Soumiya et al disclose in Figure 4 a system wherein quality class queues 409 are inside a common buffer 406 that make up a LIFO buffer. Refer to Column 18, lines 44-52. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time

Art Unit: 2416

the invention was made to include wherein the buffer bus register comprises a LIFO. One would have been motivated to do so in order to allow the top of the buffer to be serviced first.

Referring to claims 27 and 46, Baumert et al do not disclose wherein the LIFO comprises an eight-location LIFO. However, the LIFO disclosed in Soumiya et al can contain any number of locations to store packets, including eight.

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to CHRISTINE NG whose telephone number is (571)272-3124. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F; 8:00 am - 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ricky Ngo can be reached on (571) 272-3139. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2416

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

C. Ng
September 3, 2009

/Ricky Ngo/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2416